RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

01/23/2008 WED 12:52 FAX 12489888363 --- USPTO

JAN 23 2008

Ø001/004

02/01/2008

60,469-403 PUS1 PA-000.04416-US-A

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

OK TO ENTER: /EL/

Richard J. Ericson

Serial Number:

10/684,171

Filed:

10/10/2003

Group Art Unit:

3654

Examiner:

Langdon, Evan H.

Title:

TRACTION ENHANCED CONTROLLED PRESSURE

FLEXIBLE FLAT TERMINATION DEVICE

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is responsive to the Final Office Action mailed on November 23, 2007.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application.

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102 based on the Nichols reference must be withdrawn

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of claims 22, 23 and 26-27 under 35 U.S.C. 
§102(b) as being anticipated by the *Nichols* reference. There is no *prima facie* case of anticipation. First, the *Nichols* reference is not directed to an elevator arrangement nor one that would be considered compatible with an elevator arrangement. One skilled in the art clearly recognizes a difference between an elevator system and suspending a load in an elevator system on the one hand and a climbing rope having a rope grabber device as disclosed in the *Nichols* reference, on the other hand.